
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

v.

KYLE CORNWELL,
Defendant.

MEMORANDUM DECISION AND
ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S
MOTION FOR EARLY TERMINATION
OF SUPERVISED RELEASE

Case No. 2:08-CR-250 TS

District Judge Ted Stewart

This matter is before the Court on Defendant's Motion for Early Termination of Supervised Release. For the reasons discussed below, the Court will grant the Motion.

I. BACKGROUND

On April 30, 2008, Defendant was charged with conspiracy to attempt manufacture methamphetamine, possession of a List I Chemical with intent to manufacture methamphetamine, and possession of a List II Chemical with intent to manufacture methamphetamine. Defendant pleaded guilty to the conspiracy charge on January 12, 2009. On March 30, 2009, Defendant was sentenced to a term of 84 months in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons, to be followed by 60 months of supervised release.

Defendant began his term of supervision on June 20, 2014. In his Motion, Defendant represents that he has complied with the terms of his supervised release. Defendant seeks early termination to help facilitate certain employment opportunities. Consultation with Defendant's supervising officer confirms that Defendant has complied with the terms of supervision. The government has telephonically indicated that it does not object to Defendant's Motion.

II. DISCUSSION

18 U.S.C. § 3583(e) permits the Court to terminate supervised release at any time after a defendant has completed at least one year of supervised release, but prior to completion of the entire term, if the Court is satisfied that such action is (1) warranted by the conduct of an offender and (2) is in the interest of justice. In making this determination, the Court is directed to consider the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent they are applicable.

Having considered these factors, reviewed the docket and case file, and consulted with Defendant's supervising officer, the Court finds that early termination of Defendant's term of supervised release is both warranted by the conduct of the offender and in the interest of justice.

III. CONCLUSION


It is therefore

ORDERED that Defendant's Motion for Early Termination of Supervised Release (Docket No. 119) is GRANTED. It is further

ORDERED that Defendant's term of supervised release shall be terminated effective immediately and this case shall be closed.

DATED this 3rd day of January, 2017.

BY THE COURT:



Ted Stewart
United States District Judge